

The Geometry and Field Theory of Deformed Very Special Relativity

Collaborator: Zhang Lei

XUE XUN

East China Normal University

May 9, 2012, Chongqing

Table of contents

based on arXiv: 1204.6425, 1205.1134 and “The field theory realization of very special relativity”, to appear

- ▶ Lorentz violation, the theoretical investigations
- ▶ The very special relativity model
- ▶ The deformed $Sim(2)$ very special relativity is a Finsler geometry
- ▶ The proper subgroups of Lorentz group
- ▶ The deformation Lie group
- ▶ The deformed Poincaré subgroup
- ▶ The invariant metric under deformed Poincaré subgroup
- ▶ The field theory construction

Lorentz violation, the theoretical investigations

- ▶ The possible Lorentz violation is an important theoretical question.
- ▶ The theoretical investigation and experimental examination of Lorentz symmetry have made considerable progress and attracted a lot of attentions since the mid of 1990s.
- ▶ Coleman and Glashow, boost invariance violation in the rest frame of the cosmic background radiation
- ▶ Colladay and Kostelecky standard model extension incorporating Lorentz and CPT violation

Finsler geometry realization of doubly special relativity

- ▶ large boosts naturally uncover the structure of spacetime at arbitrary small scales
- ▶ The modification of special relativity with an additional fundamental length scale, the Planck scale, is known as doubly special relativity(DSR)
- ▶ The realization of DSR can be noncommutative spacetime or the non-linear realization of Poincare group.
- ▶ deformed dispersion relation, the main feature of DSR, can also leads to Finsler type of spacetime geometry

Cohen-Glashow's very special relativity model

- ▶ at low energy scales (QED + QCD), P , C and T are individually good symmetries of nature
- ▶ Cohen and Glashow argued that the local symmetry of physics might not need to be as large as Lorentz group but its proper subgroup
- ▶ the full symmetry restores to Poincare group when discrete symmetry P , T , CP or CT enters
- ▶ The Lorentz violation is thus connected with CP violation.

The identified VSR subgroups up to isomorphism

- ▶ $T(2)$ (2-dimensional translations) with generators $T_1 = K_x + J_y$ and $T_2 = K_y - J_x$, where \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{K} are the generators of rotations and boosts respectively
- ▶ $E(2)$ (3-parameter Euclidean motion) with generators T_1, T_2 and J_z ,
- ▶ $HOM(2)$ (3-parameter orientation preserving transformations) with generators T_1, T_2 and K_z
- ▶ $SIM(2)$ (4-parameter similitude group) with generators T_1, T_2, J_z and K_z .

The realization of VSR

- ▶ TSheikh-Jabbar et.al proved that the quantum field theory on the noncommutative Moyal plane with light-like noncommutativity possesses VSR symmetry.
- ▶ Gibbons, Gomis and Pope point out the deformed ISIM(2) admits a Finsler line element
- ▶ Zhe Chang et.al.: the isometric group of a special case of (α, β) -type Finsler space is the same with the symmetry of VSR.

The deformed $Sim(2)$ very special relativity is a Finsler geometry

- ▶ Gibbons, Gomis and Pope : duo to quantum corrections or the quantum gravity effect, $ISIM(2)$ admits a 2-parameter family of continuous deformations, none of these give rise to noncommutative translations analogous to those of the de Sitter deformation of the Poincare group: space-time remains flat
- ▶ only a 1-parameter $DISIM_b(2)$ is physically acceptable.
- ▶ The line element invariant under $DISIM_b(2)$ is Lorentz violating and of Finsler type,
$$ds^2 = (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)^{1-b} (n_\mu dx^\mu)^{2b}.$$
- ▶ The $DISIM_b(2)$ invariant equation for spin 0 field is in general a nonlocal equation, since it involves fractional derivatives.

The proper subgroups of Lorentz group

The Lorentz Lie algebra has the following Lie sub-algebra up to isomorphism

- ▶ Lie subalgebra with a single generator
- ▶ two Lie subalgebras with two generators:
 - ▶ **span** $\{r_x, b_x\}$: $[r_x, b_x] = 0$,
 - ▶ **span** $\{r_x + b_y, b_z\}$: $[b_x + r_y, b_z] = b_x + r_y$.
- ▶ one Lie subalgebras with four generators:
 $[t_1, t_2] = [r_z, b_z] = 0$, $[r_z, t_1] = t_2$, $[r_z, t_2] = -t_1$ and
 $[b_z, t_1] = -t_1$, $[b_z, t_2] = -t_2$

- ▶ four Lie subalgebras with three generators:
 - ▶ **span** $\{r_x, r_y, r_z\}$ (the $so(3)$):
 $[r_x, r_y] = r_z, [r_y, r_z] = r_x, [r_z, r_x] = r_y,$
 - ▶ **span** $\{b_x, b_y, r_z\}$ (the Lorentz algebra in 2+1 dimension):
 $[b_x, b_y] = -r_z, [b_y, r_z] = b_x, [r_z, b_x] = b_y.$
 - ▶ **span** $\{t_1, t_2, r_z\}$ (the 2 dimensional Eudclidean algebra $e(2)$):
 $[t_1, t_2] = 0, [r_z, t_1] = t_2, [r_z, t_2] = -t_1.$
 - ▶ **span** $\{t_1, t_2, b_z\}$ (2-dimensional orientation preserving transformations group $HOM(2)$):
 $[t_1, t_2] = 0, [b_z, t_1] = -t_1, [b_z, t_2] = -t_2.$

Deformation of Lie Algebra

- ▶ For a Lie algebra with commutation relations,

$$[T_i, T_j] = C_{ij}^k T_k, \quad (1)$$

- ▶ suppose the structure constants of deformed Lie algebra is of the form

$$\hat{C}_{ij}^k = C_{ij}^k + tA_{ij}^k + t^2B_{ij}^k + \dots \quad (2)$$

t : deformation parameter.

- ▶ The constrain on deformed structure constants from Jacobi identity

$$[[T_i, T_j], T_k] + [[T_j, T_k], T_i] + [[T_k, T_i], T_j] = 0 \quad (3)$$

has the form

$$\hat{C}_{l[k}^m \hat{C}_{ij]}^l = \hat{C}_{lk}^m \hat{C}_{ij}^l + \hat{C}_{li}^m \hat{C}_{jk}^l + \hat{C}_{lj}^m \hat{C}_{ki}^l = 0. \quad (4)$$

- ▶ The expansion of deformed structure constant with the power of t :

$$t \left(A_{l[k}^m C'_{ij]} + C_{l[k}^m A'_{ij]} \right) + t^2 \left(A_{l[k}^m A'_{ij]} + B_{l[k}^m C'_{ij]} + C_{l[k}^m B'_{ij]} \right) + \dots = 0. \quad (5)$$

- ▶ If there exists a family of deformed Lie algebra parametrized by a continuous variable t , there should be a group of constrained equations which arise from every power of t in the above equation, as

$$A_{l[k}^m C'_{ij]} + C_{l[k}^m A'_{ij]} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$A_{l[k}^m A'_{ij]} + B_{l[k}^m C'_{ij]} + C_{l[k}^m B'_{ij]} = 0 \quad (7)$$

and etc.

- ▶ To avoid trivial deformation : $S_\mu^v = \delta_\mu^v + t\phi_\mu^v + \dots \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, such that $\hat{C}_{ij}^k = S_c^k C_{ab}^c (S^{-1})_i^a (S^{-1})_j^b$ and hence

$$A_{ij}^k = \phi_i^k C_{ij}^l - C_{ij}^k \phi_i^l - C_{il}^k \phi_j^l. \quad (8)$$

- ▶ Define λ^μ as the basis vector of the original Lie algebra (the left invariant 1-form), then $d\lambda^i = -\frac{1}{2}C_{ab}^i \lambda^a \wedge \lambda^b$ [1] [7]. Define one form field $\Phi^a = \phi_b^a \lambda^b$ and 2-form field $A^a = \frac{1}{2}A_{ij}^a \lambda^i \wedge \lambda^j$ and $B^a = \frac{1}{2}B_{ij}^a \lambda^i \wedge \lambda^j$ a matrix valued 1-form field $C_a^b = \lambda^c C_{ca}^b$. So we have the covariant exterior differential operator of the present Lie algebra $D = d + C\wedge$, the formula (6) can be rewritten as

$$DA^a = 0, A^a \neq -D\Phi^a. \quad (9)$$

- ▶ The Jacobi Identity requires $D^2 = 0$, then

$$DB^a + (A \bullet A)^a = 0, \quad (10)$$

where $(A \bullet A)^a = \frac{1}{2} A^a_{b[c} A^b_{de]} \lambda^c \wedge \lambda^d \wedge \lambda^e$. The equation is solvable requires $D(A \bullet A)^a = 0$.

- ▶ If we set $A \bullet A = 0$, we find that the second order term of deformation will also satisfy (9). Then the acceptable form of B^μ is the same as one of A^μ . It is enough to consider the first order deformed term only.

The Perturbative Solution of the Representation of the Deformation group Generators

- ▶ The natural representation of the deformed generators is the representation inherit from the Poincaré group's 5 dimensional natural matrix representation
- ▶ Suppose $\{T'_i = T_i + \tau G_i\}$ and $C'^k_{ij} = C^k_{ij} + tA^k_{ij}$, hence
- ▶ $C^k_{ij} T_k = [T_i, T_j]$
- ▶ $C'^k_{ij} T'_k = [T'_i, T'_j]$,
- ▶ $\tau^2 [G_i, G_j] + \tau \left([G_i, T_j] + [T_i, G_j] - C^k_{ij} G_k - tA^k_{ij} G_k \right) - tA^k_{ij} T_k = 0$, where T s and G s are all 5×5 matrices

- ▶ $N \times 5 \times 5 = 25N$ unknown variables for a Lie algebra with N generators, e.g. 250 unknown for Poincaré group, 200 for *ISIM* group and 175 for *IHOM* group
- ▶ In general, we can assume that $tA_{ij}^k = \tau\bar{A}_{ij}^k$,

$$\begin{cases} [G_i, G_j] - \bar{A}_{ij}^k G_k = 0 \\ [G_i, T_j] + [T_i, G_j] - C_{ij}^k G_k - \bar{A}_{ij}^k T_k = 0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

- ▶ The simplest case is $t_1 A_{ij}^k = \bar{A}_{ij}^k$ and $t = t_1 \tau$. Rewrite t_1 as t

$$\begin{cases} [G_i, G_j] - tA_{ij}^k G_k = 0 \\ [G_i, T_j] + [T_i, G_j] - C_{ij}^k G_k - tA_{ij}^k T_k = 0. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

- ▶ There may be more than one set of solutions, which corresponding to different spacetime geometry.

Example: the deformation group of SIM

- ▶ the semi-direct product of SIM with $T(4)$, $ISIM$:

$$\begin{aligned} [t_1, r_z] &= -t_2, [t_1, b_z] = t_1, [t_1, p_t] = [t_1, p_z] = p_x, \\ [t_2, r_z] &= t_1, [t_2, b_z] = t_2, [t_2, p_t] = [t_2, p_z] = p_y, \\ [t_1, p_x] &= p_t - p_z, [t_2, p_y] = p_t - p_z, [r_z, p_x] = p_y, \\ [r_z, p_y] &= -p_x, [b_z, p_t] = p_z, [b_z, p_z] = p_t. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

- ▶ The Jacobi constrain reduces the $8 \times \frac{8 \times 7}{2} = 224$ deformation parameters to 57. The simplest solution $A \bullet A = 0$ reduces further to 6 ones,

$$A_{1b}^1, A_{1x}^t, A_{1x}^z, A_{rt}^t, A_{bt}^t, A_{bt}^z, \quad (14)$$

where r, b, t, x, z represent r_z, b_z, p_t, p_x, p_z respectively.

The commutation relation for *DISIM* is

$$\begin{aligned}
 [t_1, r_z] &= -t_2, [t_1, b_z] = (1 + A_{1b}^1) t_1, [t_2, r_z] = t_1, \\
 [t_1, p_t] &= p_x, [t_1, p_x] = (1 + A_{1x}^t) p_t - (1 - A_{1x}^z) p_z, \\
 [t_1, p_z] &= (1 + A_{1x}^t + A_{1x}^z) p_x, [t_2, b_z] = (1 + A_{1b}^1) t_2, \\
 [t_2, p_t] &= p_y, [t_2, p_y] = (1 + A_{1x}^t) p_t - (1 - A_{1x}^z) p_z, \\
 [t_2, p_z] &= (1 + A_{1x}^t + A_{1x}^z) p_y, [r_z, p_t] = A_{rt}^t p_t, \\
 [r_z, p_x] &= p_y + A_{rt}^t p_x, [r_z, p_y] = -p_x + A_{rt}^t p_y, \\
 [r_z, p_z] &= A_{rt}^t p_z, [b_z, p_t] = p_z + A_{bt}^t p_t + A_{bt}^z p_z, \\
 [b_z, p_x] &= (A_{1x}^t + A_{1x}^z + A_{bt}^t + A_{bt}^z - A_{1b}^1) p_x, \\
 [b_z, p_y] &= (A_{1x}^t + A_{1x}^z + A_{bt}^t + A_{bt}^z - A_{1b}^1) p_y, \\
 [b_z, p_z] &= p_t + (2A_{1b}^1 - A_{bt}^z) p_t \\
 &+ (2A_{1x}^t + 2A_{1x}^z + A_{bt}^t + 2A_{bt}^z - 2A_{1b}^1) p_z.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

- ▶ The non-triviality condition is

$$A_{rt}^t{}^2 + (A_{1x}^t + A_{1x}^z + A_{bt}^t + A_{bt}^z - A_{1b}^1)^2 \neq 0. \quad (16)$$

- ▶ The simplest solution $A \bullet A = 0$ gives

$$\begin{cases} A_{1x}^z (A_{1x}^t + A_{1x}^z) = 0 \\ A_{bt}^z (A_{1x}^t + A_{1x}^z) = 0 \\ (A_{1x}^t - 2A_{1b}^1) (A_{1x}^t + A_{1x}^z) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

- ▶ A_{1b}^1 -deformation inside of the original *sim*. We thus can classify *DISIM* into two classes.
- ▶ There are many subcases.

The example case, $A_{bt}^t = 0$

In the example case: $A_{bt}^t = 0$. Denoting $A_1 = A_{rt}^t$ and $A_2 = A_{bt}^t$, the representation matrices of the deformed generators are

$$\begin{aligned} r_z &= \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & & \\ & A_1 & -1 & & \\ & 1 & A_1 & & \\ & & & A_1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ b_z &= \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & & & & \\ & A_2 & & & \\ & & A_2 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & & A_2 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Note: $R_z(\theta)$ is a rotation followed by a dilatation $e^{\theta A_1}$.

$R_z(2\pi) = e^{2\pi A_1}$ is a pure dilatation when $A_1 \neq 0$. To keep $R_z(\theta)$ as a reasonable local rotation operation, one demands $A_1 = 0$.

Denoted A_2 by b the deformed boost operation :

$$B_z(\theta) = e^{b\theta} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \theta & & \sinh \theta \\ & 1 & \\ \sinh \theta & & \cosh \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

an ordinary boost followed by a dilatation.

Summary of deform group

Summary: the deformation of semi-direct product of all of three and four generators Lorentz subgroups with $T(4)$ and their natural representations

Table : The Deformation of semi-direct product Poincaré subgroups.

subgroup	class	subclass	natural rep.	remark
Poincaré	de Sitter	de Sitter	1	the isometry group of maximal symmetric space of 4-spacetime
<i>ISIM</i>	<i>DISIM</i> (<i>SIM</i> undeformed)	<i>DISIM</i>	1	lots of equivalent deformation corresponding to generators redefinition and additional accompanied dilatation for rotation and boost operation
	<i>XDISIM1</i> (<i>SIM</i> deformed)	<i>XDISIM1</i>	1	lots of equivalent deformation corresponding to generators redefinition and additional accompanied dilatation for rotation and boost operation
	<i>XDISIM2</i> (<i>SIM</i> deformed)	<i>XDISIM2</i>	1	additional accompanied dilatation for rotation operation additional accompanied dilatation for boost operation

<i>IHOM</i>	<i>DIHOM1</i> (<i>WDISIM</i>)	<i>DIHOM1</i> (<i>WDISIM</i>)	1	lots of equivalent representations corresponding to generators redefinition, additional accompanied dilatation for boost operation same structure as the corresponding part of <i>DISIM</i>
	<i>DIHOM2</i> (<i>DIHOM</i>)	<i>DIHOM2</i> (<i>DIHOM</i>)	1	no natural representations inherited from Poincaré group additional accompanied dilatation for boost operation
<i>TE(2)</i>	<i>DTE1</i>	<i>DTE1</i>	1	additional accompanied dilatation for rotation operation rotation operation not only in <i>xy</i> plane but also in rotated <i>tz</i> plane
	<i>DTE2</i>	<i>DTE2a</i>	2	translations are entangled with t_1 and t_2 operations
		<i>DTE2b</i>	0	no natural representation inherited from Poincaré group
	<i>DTE3</i>	<i>DTE3a</i>	2	translations are entangled with t_1 and t_2 operations
<i>DTE3b</i>		1	translations are entangled with t_1 and t_2 operations rotation operation not only in <i>xy</i> plane but also in rotated <i>tz</i> plane	
<i>ISO(3)</i>	<i>DISO(3)1</i>	<i>DISO(3)1</i>	1	inequivalent representation corresponding to different sign of deform parameter, only translations operations deformed
	<i>DISO(3)2</i>	<i>DISO(3)2</i>	3	three inequivalent representations only translations operations deformed
<i>ISO(2, 1)</i>	<i>DISO(2, 1)1</i>	<i>DISO(2, 1)1</i>	1	inequivalent representation corresponding to different sign of deform parameter, only translations operations deformed
	<i>DISO(2, 1)2</i>	<i>DISO(2, 1)2</i>	2	two inequivalent representations only translations operations deformed

Minkowski-Finsler Manifold

- ▶ The geometry with deformed Poincaré subgroup symmetry is usually a Finsler Geometry.
- ▶ In Finsler geometry, Minkowski-Finsler manifold is a class of flat manifolds whose Finsler norm does not change with the coordinate on the base manifold and hence a function of the coordinate of the vector space, $F = F(y^\alpha)$.
- ▶ We are seeking the Minkowski-Finsler type of geometry with deformed Poincaré subgroup symmetry.

The Invariant Metric

- ▶ Without losing generality

$$F^2 = \prod_{i=1}^M F_i. \quad (21)$$

$$F_i = M_i^{E_i}, \quad (22)$$

- ▶ where E_i is constant and M_i satisfies

$$M_i(y^\mu) = G_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{p_i}} \prod_{j=1}^{p_i} y^{\mu_j}. \quad (23)$$

- ▶ The $G_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{p_i}}$ is constant tensor. For F^2 is a degree 2 homogenous function of y_μ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^M p_i E_i = 2. \quad (24)$$

- ▶ Suppose T_a is a single parameter group element we can demand

$$M_i(T_a(y^\mu)) = A_{ia} M_i(y^\mu). \quad (25)$$

- ▶ For F^2 is invariant under the action of T_a , we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^M A_{ia}^{E_i} = 1, \quad (26)$$

Summary of The Invariant Metric

Table : The Finsler spacetime with symmetry group of Poincaré subgroups and deformed Poincaré subgroups

symmetric group	conformal covariant tensor	conformal factor
	the invariant metric and additional remark	
de Sitter	no Minkowski-Finsler type of invariant metric	
Poincaré	$G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1
	$F^2 = G_{\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu$	

<i>DISIM</i>	$N_\mu = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)^\top$	$B_z(\theta) : e^{(1+A_2)\theta}$
	$G_{\mu\nu}$	$B_z(\theta) : e^{2A_1\theta}$
	$F^2 = (G_{\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu)^{1+A_2} (N_\mu y^\mu)^{-2A_2}$	
<i>XDISIM1</i>	N_μ	$B_z(\theta) : e^{(1+A_3)\theta}$
	$G_{\mu\nu}$	$B_z(\theta) : e^{2(A_3-A_1)\theta}$
	$F^2 = (G_{\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu)^{\frac{1+A_3}{1+A_1}} (N_\mu y^\mu)^{-2\frac{A_3+A_1}{1+A_1}}$ <p>no invariant metric incase of $A_1 = -1$</p>	

<i>XDISIM2</i>	N_μ	$B_z(\theta) : e^{(1+A_3)\theta}$
	$H_{(M,N)\mu\nu}$ where $M = -\frac{1+A_3}{1+A_1}$, $N = \frac{A_1-A_3}{1+A_1}$	$B_z(\theta) : e^{2(A_3-A_1)\theta}$
	$F^2 = (H_{(M,N)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu)^{\frac{1+A_3}{1+A_1}} (N_\mu y^\mu)^{-2\frac{A_3-A_1}{1+A_1}}$ <p>a $t - z$ plane non-orthogonal linear transformation is made relative to <i>DISIM</i></p>	
<i>ISIM</i>	N_μ	$B_z(\theta) : e^\theta$
	$G_{\mu\nu}$	invariant
	$F^2 = G_{\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu$	

<i>DIHOM</i>	no invariant metric function	
<i>WDIHOM</i>	the same as <i>DISIM</i>	
<i>IHOM</i>	the same as <i>ISIM</i>	
<i>DTE1</i>	no invariant metric function	
<i>DTE2a1</i>	N_μ	invariant
	$G_{\mu\nu}$	$P_t(\theta), P_z(\theta) : e^{A_2\theta}$
	$F = N_\mu y^\mu$ <p>the relation between two deform parameters: $A_1 = A_2^2/4$</p>	

<i>DTE2a2</i>	N_μ	$P_t(\theta), P_z(\theta) : e^{(2\lambda - A_2)\theta}$
	$G_{\mu\nu}$	$P_t(\theta), P_z(\theta) : e^{2\lambda\theta}$
	$F^2 = (G_{\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu)^{\frac{A_2 - 2\lambda}{A_2 - \lambda}} (N_\mu y^\mu)^{\frac{2\lambda}{A_2 - \lambda}}$ deform parameters satisfy: $\lambda^2 - A_2\lambda + A_1 = 0$ and $\lambda \neq A_2$	
<i>DTE2b</i>	no invariant metric function	
<i>DTE3a</i>	the same as <i>DTE2a</i>	

	N_μ	invariant
$DTE3b$	$H_{(a,b)\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} a & & a+b \\ & b & \\ a+b & & a+2b \end{pmatrix}$	invariant
	$F^2 = \prod_{a,b} (H_{(a,b)\mu\nu} y^\mu y^\nu)^{D_{a,b}}$ <p>the constrain condition: $\sum_{a,b} D_{a,b} = 1$</p>	

$TE(2)$	the same as $DTE3b$ and hence denote $DTE3b$ by $TE(2)$
$DISO(3)1$	no invariant metric
$DISO(3)2$	no invariant metric
$DISO(2, 1)1$	no invariant metric
$DISO(2, 1)2$	no invariant metric

$ISO(3)$	$T_\mu = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)^T$	invariant
	$G_{(a,b)\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & b & & \\ & & b & \\ & & & b \end{pmatrix}$	invariant
	$F^2 = (T_\mu y^\mu)^A \prod_{a,b} (G_{(a,b)\mu\nu} y^\mu y^\nu)^{B_{a,b}}$ the constrain condition: $A + 2 \sum_{a,b} B_{a,b} = 2$	

$ISO(2, 1)$	$X_\mu = (0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0)^T$	invariant
	$\tilde{G}_{(a,b)\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & b & & \\ & & -a & \\ & & & -a \end{pmatrix}$	invariant
	$F^2 = (X_\mu y^\mu)^A \prod_{a,b} \left(\tilde{G}_{(a,b)\mu\nu} y^\mu y^\nu \right)^{B_{a,b}}$ the constrain condition: $A + 2 \sum_{a,b} B_{a,b} = 2$	

- ▶ The invariant metric function for deformed Poincaré subgroup can be written as

$$F^2 = (A_\mu y^\mu)^{2-2\sum_{a,b} D_{a,b}} \prod_{a,b} (B_{(a,b)\mu\nu} y^\mu y^\nu)^{D_{a,b}}.$$

where A_μ can be N_μ , T_μ and X_μ while $B_{(a,b)\mu\nu}$ can take $\tilde{G}_{(a,b)\mu\nu}$, $B_{(a,b)\mu\nu}$ and $H_{(a,b)\mu\nu}$. For different groups, the metric usually are: $F^2 = G_{\mu\nu} y^\mu y^\nu$, $(N_\mu y^\mu)^2$ or $(G_{\mu\nu} y^\mu y^\nu)^{1-A} (N_\mu y^\mu)^{2A}$.

- ▶ metric function can be constructed by adding different parts, e.g. TE(2) can have such form metric function

$$F = A\sqrt{G_{\mu\nu} y^\mu y^\nu + (N_\mu y^\mu)^2} + B\sqrt{G_{\mu\nu} y^\mu y^\nu} + CN_\mu y^\mu. \quad (27)$$

- ▶ Among undeformed groups, only the *ISIM* group invariant metric function is the Minkowskian while the metric $TE(2)$, $ISO(3)$ and $ISO(2,1)$ invariant are all of the deformed form.
- ▶ the existence of invariant metric function automatically excludes the additional accompanied scale transformation for rotation operation, i.e. it is a requirement of geometry that the rotation operation is unchanged even in a Lorentz violation theory

More Forms of Metric Function

- ▶ Metric function can have plenty structure,
- ▶ If there exist some scalar function $\phi(y^\mu)$ which is the zero degree homogenous function of y^μ and invariant, the product of ϕ and the metric function is still an invariant metric function.

Table : invariant zero degree functions of deformed Poincaré subgroup

symmetric group	invariant zero degree homogenous function ϕ
DISIM	$\phi=1$
XDISIM1	$\phi=1$
XDISIM2	$\phi=1$
ISIM	$\phi=1$
DTE2a1	$\phi=1$
DTE2a2	$\phi=1$
DTE3b	$\phi=1$
TE(2)	$\phi_{a,b;c,d} = \frac{H_{(a,b)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu}{H_{(c,d)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu}$
ISO(3)	$\phi_{a,b} = \frac{(T_\mu y^\mu)^2}{G_{(a,b)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu} \text{ and } \phi_{a,b;c,d} = \frac{G_{(a,b)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu}{G_{(c,d)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu}$
ISO(2,1)	$\phi_{a,b} = \frac{(X_\mu y^\mu)^2}{\tilde{G}_{(a,b)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu} \text{ and } \phi_{a,b;c,d} = \frac{\tilde{G}_{(a,b)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu}{\tilde{G}_{(c,d)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu}$

- ▶ For DTE3b, TE(2), ISO(3), ISO(2,1), the invariant metric function can take the form of

DTE3b:	$F^2 = (G_{\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu)^{1-A} (N_\mu y^\mu)^{2A} S(\phi_{\text{DTE3b}})$
TE (2):	$F^2 = \prod_{a,b} (H_{(a,b)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu)^{D_{a,b}} S(\phi_{\text{TE}(2)_{a,b;c,d}})$
ISO (3):	$F^2 = (T_\mu y^\mu)^A \prod_{a,b} (G_{(a,b)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu)^{B_{a,b}} S(\phi_{\text{ISO}(3)_{a,b}}, \phi_{\text{ISO}(3)_{a,b;c,d}})$
ISO (2, 1):	$F^2 = (X_\mu y^\mu)^A \prod_{a,b} (\tilde{G}_{(a,b)\mu\nu}y^\mu y^\nu)^{B_{a,b}} S(\phi_{\text{ISO}(2,1)_{a,b}}, \phi_{\text{ISO}(2,1)_{a,b;c,d}})$

- ▶ where S is an arbitrary function.

The Physics of Deformed Very Special Relativity

- ▶ the action for point particle
- ▶ In Finsler spacetime, the action for free point particle has the form of

$$S = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} mF(x^\mu, V^\mu) d\tau = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{mF(x^\mu, V^\mu)}{V^t} dt$$

where $V^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}$

- ▶ The lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{mF(x^\mu, V^\mu)}{V^t} = mF(x^\mu; v^\mu)$$

where $v^\mu = \frac{V^\mu}{V^t}$

- ▶ in DISIM spacetime, the lagrangian for point particle is

$$L = m(G_{\mu\nu}v^\mu v^\nu)^{\frac{1-A}{2}}(N_\mu v^\mu)^A$$

- ▶ the conjugate momentum is

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^\mu} = L \left[(1-A) G_{\mu\nu} v^\nu (G_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu)^{-1} + A N_\mu (N_\mu v^\mu)^{-1} \right]$$

- ▶ The momentum can be decomposed into kinematic part and the interacting part

$$\begin{cases} p_\mu = k_\mu + f_\mu \\ k_\mu = (1-A) L (G_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu)^{-1} G_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \\ f_\mu = A L (N_\mu v^\mu)^{-1} N_\mu \end{cases}$$

- ▶ dispersion relation

$$(G^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu)^{1+A} (N^\mu p_\mu)^{-2A} = m^2 (1 - A^2)^{1+A} (1 - A)^{-2A}$$

- ▶ similar relation for the kinematic momentum

$$\begin{cases} G^{\mu\nu} k_\mu k_\nu = (1 - A)^2 L^2 (G_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu)^{-1} \\ N^\mu k_\mu = (1 - A) L (G_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu)^{-1} N_\mu v^\nu \\ (G^{\mu\nu} k_\mu k_\nu)^{1+A} (N^\mu k_\mu)^{-2A} = (1 - A)^2 m^2 \end{cases}$$

The Action for DISIM

- ▶ For DISIM group, the boost operation has additional dilatation
- ▶ dilatation is commutative with all the group operation, one can add an additional conformal factor to the original representation of the group to construct the new representation
- ▶ scalar, spinor and vector fields have additional conformal factor

- ▶ For DISIM group, from the massless dispersion relation of point particle, one can get the kinematic part of scalar lagrangian

$$L_m = C(\partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi)^r (N^\mu \phi^* \partial_\mu \phi - N^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi^*)^s$$

- ▶ $B_z(\theta) : \phi \rightarrow e^{\frac{s-4A}{2(r+s)}\theta} \phi$
- ▶ the mass term for scalar field can be introduced by

$$L_M = D(\phi^* \phi)^a (N^\mu \phi^* \partial_\mu \phi - N^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi^*)^b$$

where $b = \frac{4Ar-4Aa+4As+as}{r+4A}$ and a, D to be determined.

- ▶ The dispersion relation for plane wave solution is

$$(p_\mu p^\mu)^r (-2iN^\mu p_\mu)^{s-b} = -\frac{D(a+b)}{C(r+s)}$$

- ▶ comparing with point particle's dispersion relation, one get

$$\begin{cases} b = s + \frac{2Ar}{1+A} \\ s = 2A \left(2 - \frac{r}{1+A} \frac{r+4A}{r-a} \right) \\ -\frac{D(a+b)}{C(r+s)} = m^{\frac{2r}{1+A}} (1 - A^2)^r [-2i(1 - A)]^{-\frac{2Ar}{1+A}} \end{cases}$$

The Action for Fields

two key point to construct the action for fields,

- ▶ the action is invariant under the group action
- ▶ the plane wave solution of fields satisfy the dispersion relation for point particle

- ▶ one get finally

$$\begin{cases} a = 1 + n\sqrt{A}, b = s + 2A, s = 4A + 2\sqrt{A}\frac{1+5A}{n-\sqrt{A}}, D = \frac{a+2A+s}{1+A+s} m^2 \\ j_\mu = \frac{i}{2(1-A)} (\phi^* \partial_\mu \phi - \phi \partial_\mu \phi^*), C = (1 - A^2)^{-(1+A)}, \end{cases}$$

- ▶ The lagrangian is

$$L = (N^\mu j_\mu)^{s+2A} \left[(1 - A^2)^{-(1+A)} (\partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi)^{1+A} (N^\mu j_\mu)^{-2A} - \frac{a+2A+s}{1+A+s} m^2 (\phi^* \phi)^{1+n\sqrt{A}} \right]$$

- ▶ expansion in the deformation parameter

$$L = \partial^\mu \phi^* \partial_\mu \phi - \left(1 + n\sqrt{A} \right) m^2 \phi^* \phi - \frac{2\sqrt{A}}{n} \left[(\partial^\mu \phi^* \partial_\mu \phi) - m^2 \phi^* \phi \right] \ln (N^\mu \tilde{j}_\mu)$$

- ▶ similar result for the spinor field of DISIM

$$L = C(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - \partial_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)^r (N_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)^s + D(\bar{\psi}\psi)^a (N_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)^b$$

where $B_z(\theta) : \psi \rightarrow e^{\frac{s-4A}{2(r+s)}\theta}\psi$ and $b = \frac{4A(r+s)+a(s-4A)}{r+4A}$

- ▶ the plane wave solution of fields give

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} b = s + A\frac{2r-a}{1+A}, s = A\frac{r+4A}{r-a} \left(\frac{4r-4a}{r+4A} - \frac{2r-a}{1+A} \right) \\ \left[-\frac{D(a+b)}{C(r+s)} \right]^{\frac{2(1+A)}{2r-a}} (-2i)^{-\frac{2r(1+A)}{2r-a}} = m^2(1-A^2)^{1+A}(1-A)^{-2A} \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ The action can be written finally

$$L = (N_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi)^s \left\{ \left[\frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) \right]^{1+n\sqrt{A}} - M (\bar{\psi} \psi)^{1+2n\sqrt{A}} \left(\frac{N_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi}{\bar{\psi} \psi} \right)^A \right\}$$

where $s = \sqrt{A} \left(4 - \frac{1+n\sqrt{A}+4A}{\sqrt{A}-n} \right)$ and

$$M = m \frac{1+n\sqrt{A}+s}{1+2n\sqrt{A}+s} (1-A^2)^{\frac{1+A}{2}} (1-A)^{-A}$$

- ▶ the perturbative expansion is

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) - (1 - n\sqrt{A}) m \bar{\psi} \psi \\ & + (4 + \frac{1}{n}) \sqrt{A} \left[\frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) - m \bar{\psi} \psi \right] \ln (N_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) \\ & + n\sqrt{A} \left[\frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) \right] \ln \left[\frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) \right] \\ & - 2n\sqrt{A} m (\bar{\psi} \psi) \ln (\bar{\psi} \psi) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ similar method can get the action for the vector field

$$L = (N^\mu A^\nu F_{\nu\mu})^{s+2A} \left[\left(\frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4(1-A^2)} \right)^{1+A} (N^\mu A^\nu F_{\nu\mu})^{-2A} - \frac{1}{2} M^2 (A^\mu A_\mu)^{1+n\sqrt{A}} \right]$$

where $s = 2\sqrt{A} \frac{1+2n\sqrt{A}+3A}{n-\sqrt{A}}$ and $M = \sqrt{\frac{1+A}{1+n\sqrt{A}}} (1-A)^{-A} m$

- ▶ the action for massless vector field in TE(2) spacetime is

$$L = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{g^2}{2} (N^\mu A^\nu F_{\nu\mu})^2$$

- ▶ the action for massless vector field in DISIM spacetime is

$$L = \left(\frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4(1-A^2)} \right) (N^\mu A^\nu F_{\nu\mu})^{4A}$$

- ▶ coupling between scalar and gauge field

$$L = (N^\mu j_\mu)^{s+2A} \left[(1 - A^2)^{-(1+A)} (D_\mu \phi^* D^\mu \phi)^{1+A} (N^\mu j_\mu)^{-2A} - \frac{a+2A+s}{1+A+s} m^2 (\phi^* \phi)^{1+n\sqrt{A}} \right] + \left(\frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4(1-A^2)} \right) (N^\mu A^\nu F_{\nu\mu})^{4A}$$

- ▶ coupling between spinor and gauge field

$$L = (N_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi)^s \left\{ \left[\frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) \right]^{1+n\sqrt{A}} - M (\bar{\psi} \psi)^{1+2n\sqrt{A}} \left(\frac{N_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi}{\psi \bar{\psi}} \right)^A \right\} + \left(\frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4(1-A^2)} \right) (N^\mu A^\nu F_{\nu\mu})^{4A}$$

- ▶ the action for electromagnetic coupling of point particle is

$$S = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} [mF(V^\mu) + eV^\mu A_\mu] d\tau + \int \left(\frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4(1-A^2)} \right) (N^\mu A^\nu F_{\nu\mu})^{4A} dV$$

The field theory of deformed very special relativity

- ▶ fields exhibit rescale effect in some specific Finsler spacetime
- ▶ Field can get an effective mass in some $ISO(3)$ spacetime
- ▶ the effective mass depends on direction in $TE(2)$ spacetime-the anisotropy

Conclusion

- ▶ obtained various of deformed Poincaré subgroups and their natural representations
- ▶ obtained the spacetime metric function corresponding to various of semi-direct product Poincaré subgroups and their deform partner
- ▶ obtained the field theory in various of spacetime with semi-direct product Poincaré subgroups and their deform partner symmetry

Thanks for your attention!